

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/077,724 02/14/2002 Sean A. Cerniglia 100110261-1 4364 10/03/2003 **EXAMINER** HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY LEE, JINHEE J Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 2831

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			KF
•,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/077,724	CERNIGLIA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jinhee J Lee	2831
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.	
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/077,724

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10 and 18-28 drawn to a keyed filler panel assembly, classified in class 174, subclass 35R.
 - II. Claims 11-17 and 29-38, drawn to a method to simplify removable coupling, classified in class 264, subclass 167.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, handle can be made by casting.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claims of Groups I with:

Species a drawn to device of figure 2

species b drawn to device of figure 3

Species c drawn to device of figure 6

Application/Control Number: 10/077,724

Art Unit: 2831

Species d drawn to device of figure 7

Species e drawn to device of figure 9A

species f drawn to device of figure 9B

Species g drawn to device of figure 9C

Species h drawn to device of figure 9D

Species i drawn to device of figure 10

species j drawn to device of figure 11

(species a-j above with locating element of:)

Claims of Groups II with:

Species 1A drawn to method steps of figure 8
Species 1B drawn to method steps of figure 12
(species 1A-1B above with:)

Species aa drawn to device of figure 4

Species bb drawn to device of figure 5.

Species a drawn to device of figure 2
species b drawn to device of figure 3
Species c drawn to device of figure 6
Species d drawn to device of figure 7
Species e drawn to device of figure 9A
species f drawn to device of figure 9B
Species g drawn to device of figure 9C
Species h drawn to device of figure 9D

Application/Control Number: 10/077,724

Art Unit: 2831

Species i drawn to device of figure 10 species j drawn to device of figure 11 (species a-j above with locating element of:)

Species aa drawn to device of figure 4

Species bb drawn to device of figure 5

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

'Application/Control Number: 10/077,724

Art Unit: 2831

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to its complexity.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J Lee whose telephone number is 703-306-0154. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on 703-308-3682. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800